



Mr Des Crofton,
National Director.
NARGC.,
Ferbane Business & Technology Park,
Ballycumber Road,
Ferbane,
Co. Offaly.
Ireland.

16th Oct 2015.

Sir,

I am writing to you in order to obtain information on behalf of the NASRPC committee regarding the recent process that lead to the nominations for the primary representatives and the nominated alternates for the FCP supplied to the Department of Justice. If you could be so good as to reply with answers to the following questions within the next seven days we would be appreciative as this will facilitate the dissemination of this information at the next scheduled NASRPC committee meeting.

1. Since the NASRPC is the second largest shooting organisation of the sports coalition, why did the NASRPC organisation not get any representative on the FCP?
2. In terms of the process for the nominations for the FCP, what procedure was used by the sports coalition to nominate these people for the FCP?
3. On the basis that the representative of the NASRPC, (Chairman Michael Tope) was unable to attend the meeting convened, why did the sports coalition go ahead with a nomination process without representation of the second largest shooting organisation of the coalition being present?
4. Communications between the sports coalition and the NASRPC representatives have been unsatisfactory for some time, in so far as communications have been through a third party. Why has this been the case?
5. The selection process for nominated people for the FCP was not on the agenda for the meeting of the sports coalition unattended by the NASRPC representative, but it went ahead without the active participation of the WA1500 delegate or the NASRPC delegate on the night. Can you explain this process and the thinking behind it please?

Yours in sport,
W Danaher.
Secretary NASRPC.
will.danaher@nasrpc.ie